Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/562,454	KRISSMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Thuan D. Dang	1797
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Thuan D. Dang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Vastine</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 12 and 13		· ,
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Mrs. Vastine authorized an examiner's amendment to correct to Part III.		VAS DISCUSSED:
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a we e record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
42		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Attachment(s) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date: 6/22/07; 5/9/07; 11/8/06, 6/8/06; 12/27/05.